

hep-th/9311003

Theory of Superselection Sectors for Generalized Ising models

J.R.Reyes Martínez*

Institut für Theoretische Physik, FU-Berlin
Arnimallee 14, D-14195 Berlin

October 1993

Abstract

We apply the theory of superselection sectors in the same way as done by G.Mack and V.Schomerus for the Ising model to generalizations of this model described by J.Fröhlich and T.Kerler.

*risc4@physik.fu-berlin.de

1 Introduction

In the framework of Algebraic Field Theory the endomorphisms of the observable algebra play a fundamental role, they describe the different sectors (irreducible representations of the observables). The fusion rules satisfied by these endomorphisms are expected to correspond to the tensor product of the representations of the unknown symmetry object. In four space-time dimensions we have permutation group statistics and the symmetry is realized by compact groups, in 2-dim we have braid group statistics and the corresponding symmetry is not in general known (3-dim is a intermediary case where the two possibilities can generically occur depending on the localization of the representations allowed). Conformal field theories in 2-dim are an excellent testing ground for unveiling this new symmetry structure, there are plenty of models where we know the sectors and their fusion rules completely. Unfortunately knowing the composition of the endomorphisms abstractly, i.e. knowing their fusion rules only, has not been very helpful in the quest for this new concept (another point is that the fusion rules do not determine a specific model uniquely). It seems almost impossible to explicitly construct localized endomorphisms (localization of the representations is important to see the braid group statistics see for example [4]), but all we need in principle are endomorphisms unitary equivalent to local ones. This was done in [1] and [3], where the morphisms constructed, although *not* localized, enabled a very complete analysis of the models in question.

Coming now to the proper subject of our paper, we present a class of models where we can construct the endomorphisms in the same spirit as done for the Ising model by Mack and Schomerus. The models that we analyze were classified in [2]. They have the fusion rules:

$$\rho_{1/2} \circ \rho_{1/2} = \sum_{\alpha_g \in \text{stab}(\rho_{1/2})} \alpha_g \quad (1)$$

$$\alpha_g \circ \rho_{1/2} = \rho_{1/2} \quad (2)$$

Where the second equation expresses the fact that $\alpha_g \in \text{stab}(\rho_{1/2})$. The only possible groups, $\text{stab}(\rho_{1/2})$, that can occur (so that the intertwiners between sectors and products of sectors satisfy the braid and fusion identities) have M inequivalent self-conjugating automorphisms, i.e., $\text{stab}(\rho_{1/2}) \cong (\mathbf{Z}_2)^M$

then the above sum runs over 2^M terms and the statistical dimension of $\rho_{1/2}$ is $d_{\rho_{1/2}} = 2^{\frac{M}{2}}$. To simplify the discussion the endomorphism $\rho_{1/2}$ was chosen to be selfconjugate. To realize these models we start with M Majorana fields.

2 The Lie algebra of observables its representations and morphisms

2.1 Representations

As promised we define:

$$\mathbf{Maj} = \sum_{i=1}^M \mathbf{Maj}_{NS(i)} \oplus \mathbf{Maj}_{R(i)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_{a,i} & \text{ for } a \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{Maj}_{NS(i)} \\ b_{a,i} & \text{ for } a \in \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Maj}_{R(i)} \end{aligned}$$

The anticommutation relations are:

$$\{b_{a,i}, b_{c,j}\} = \frac{1}{2}[1 + (-1)^{2a}Y]\delta_{i,j}\delta_{a,-c}; \quad b_{a,i}^* = b_{-a,i} \quad (3)$$

Where the Y commute with all fermion operators and among themselves, i.e., it belong to the center of the algebra $\mathbf{Maj}$. Note also that for every i , $Y = 4b_{0,i}b_{0,i} - 1$ and $Yb_{a,i} = (-1)^{2a}b_{a,i}$.

Our observable algebra must contain the Virasoro algebra as a sub-algebra whose generators are given in terms of the Majorana operators as follows:

$$L_n = \sum_{i=1}^M \left(\sum_{c > \frac{n}{2}} \left(c - \frac{n}{2} \right) b_{n-c,i} b_{c,i} + \frac{1}{8} \delta_{n,0} b_{0,i}^2 \right) \quad ; \text{ for } n \geq 0$$

The action of $\rho_{1/2}$ (see below) on Vir will take us out of this algebra therefore we enlarge our observable algebra $\mathcal{A}$ so that $\rho_{1/2}$ is a properly defined

endomorphism (because $\rho_{1/2}$ turns out to be blind on 'colors' $\mathcal{A} \supset Vir$ is now generated by similar bilinears as in [1]), namely

$$L_n, N_n \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z})$$

$$J_{ab,i} = -J_{ba,i} \quad (a, b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}, a - b \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}) \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\} \quad (4)$$

Under hermitian conjugation we have:

$$L_n^* = L_{-n}; \quad N_n^* = N_{-n}; \quad J_{ab,i}^* = J_{-b-a,i} \quad (5)$$

The generators N_n and $J_{ab,i}$ are given by:

$$N_n = \sum_{c > n} \sum_{i=1}^M b_{n-c,i} b_{c,i} \quad for \quad n \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

$$J_{ab,i} = b_{a,i} b_{c,i} - \frac{1}{4} [1 + (-1)^{2a} Y] \delta_{a,-c} \quad (7)$$

These generators close under commutation relations because we are only taking summations or terms with diagonal 'i' components and we can use Mack and Schomerus calculations (prop. 2.8) taking now sums over 'i' when required. The global observable algebra $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is now the envelopping algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. The central charge c is equal to $\frac{M}{2}$.

We now define two irreducible *-representations of **Maj** denoted π_{NS} and π_R by:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{NS}(b_{a,i}) &= 0 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbf{Z} & \pi_{NS}(Y) &= -1 \\ \pi_R(b_{a,i}) &= 0 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2} & \pi_R(Y) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

They obey:

$$\mathbf{Maj}/Ker(\pi_{NS}) \cong \mathbf{Maj}_{NS}, \quad \mathbf{Maj}/Ker(\pi_R) \cong \mathbf{Maj}_R$$

The corresponding modules $\mathcal{H}_{NS}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$ are highest weight modules:

$$\begin{aligned} b_{a,i}|NS\rangle &= 0 \quad \text{for } b_{a,i} \in \mathbf{Maj}_{NS} \quad , a > 0 \\ b_{a,i}|R\rangle &= 0 \quad \text{for } b_{a,i} \in \mathbf{Maj}_R \quad , a > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{H}_{NS}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$ are spanned by the vectors:

$$|(\vec{a})_m\rangle_{NS} \equiv |i_1 a_1, i_2 a_2, \dots, i_m a_m\rangle_{NS} := b_{-a_m, i_m} \dots b_{-a_2, i_2} b_{-a_1, i_1} |NS\rangle_{NS} \quad (8)$$

$$|(\vec{a})_m\rangle_R \equiv |i_1 a_1, i_2 a_2, \dots, i_m c_m\rangle_R := b_{-c_m, i_m} \dots b_{-c_2, i_2} b_{-c_1, i_1} |R\rangle_R \quad (9)$$

with $b_{-a_m, i_m} \in \mathbf{Maj}_{NS}$ $a_{m+1} \geq a_m \geq 1/2$ and $b_{-c_m, i_m} \in \mathbf{Maj}_R$ $c_{m+1} \geq c_m \geq 0$ respectively where $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

The observables are made out of bilinears in $b_{a,i} b_{c,i}$, restriction of the representations π_{NS} and π_R of $\mathbf{Maj}$ to them produces reducible representations. To find the inequivalent representations of the observable algebra $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ note that $\mathbf{Maj}$ is the (antisymmetric)-product of M independent Majorana fields (in contrast to [3] where the Kac-Moody currents mix the colors of the fermions), so to obtain the irreducible blocks we take the tensor product of the corresponding decomposition of the Ising model, i.e., $\pi_{NS}|_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}} = \pi_0 \oplus \pi_1$ see [1]:

$$(\pi_0 \oplus \pi_1)^M \rightsquigarrow \bigoplus_{r=0}^M \bigoplus_{(\underline{i})} \pi_{r(\underline{i})} \quad (10)$$

where $r(\underline{i})$ stands for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r \leq M$ and $(0 \leq r \leq M)$, the second sum on the RHS for a fixed r is over all possible r -tuples $r(\underline{i})$ (there are $M!/r!(M-r)!$ r -tuples); the representations $\pi_{r(\underline{i})}$ have conformal weight $\frac{r}{2}$ and are generated as modules by the following highest weights vectors of the Virasoro algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &:= |NS\rangle \\ |i - \tfrac{1}{2}\rangle_{NS} &= b_{-\frac{1}{2}, i} |0\rangle & 1 \leq i \leq M \\ |i_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}, i_1 - \tfrac{1}{2}\rangle_{NS} &= b_{-\frac{1}{2}, i_2} b_{-\frac{1}{2}, i_1} |0\rangle & 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq M \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$|M - \frac{1}{2}, M - 1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 1 - \frac{1}{2}\rangle_{NS} = b_{-\frac{1}{2}, M} b_{-\frac{1}{2}, M-1} \dots b_{-\frac{1}{2}, 1} |0\rangle$$

where we used the notation in (8)

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 |0\rangle &= 0 \\ L_0 |i - \frac{1}{2}\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} |i - \frac{1}{2}\rangle \\ L_0 |i_1 - \frac{1}{2}, i_2 - \frac{1}{2}\rangle &= |i_1 - \frac{1}{2}, i_2 - \frac{1}{2}\rangle \\ &\vdots \\ L_0 |M - \frac{1}{2}, M - 1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 1 - \frac{1}{2}\rangle &= \frac{M}{2} |M - \frac{1}{2}, M - 1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 1 - \frac{1}{2}\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

And $\mathcal{H}_{NS}$ decomposes as follows

$$\mathcal{H}_{NS} = \bigoplus_{r=0}^M \bigoplus_i \mathcal{H}_{NS[r(\underline{i})]} \tag{13}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_{NS(0)} \equiv \mathcal{H}_0$. Turning now to the Rammond sector $\mathcal{H}_R$ decomposes also in 2^M independent vectors with conformal weight $\frac{M}{16}$, viz.:

$$\begin{aligned} &|R\rangle \\ &b_{0,i} |R\rangle \quad 1 \leq i \leq M \\ &b_{0,i_2} b_{0,i_1} |R\rangle \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq M \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\vdots \\ &b_{0,M} b_{0,M-1} \dots b_{0,1} |R\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Consequently $\mathcal{H}_R$ splits into:

$$\mathcal{H}_R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^M} \mathcal{H}_{R[r(\underline{i})]} \tag{16}$$

but now all representation spaces $\mathcal{H}_{R[r(\underline{i})]}$ are equivalent. Finally because $\bar{\mathcal{A}} \supset Vir$ the above decompositions are a posteriori irreducible for $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ (being already

irred. for Vir). The representations generated by the modules $\mathcal{H}_{NS[r(\underline{i})]}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{R[r(\underline{i})]}$ are $\pi_{r(\underline{i})}$ and by definition $\pi_{\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively. In particular the vacuum representation will be denoted by π_0 .

2.2 Morphisms

We define now auxiliary morphisms of the **Maj** algebra. For $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$

$$\rho_j(b_{a,i}) = b_{a,i} \quad \text{for } j \neq i \quad (17)$$

$$\rho_i(b_{a,i}) = \begin{cases} ib_{a+\frac{1}{2},i} & a \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(b_{\frac{1}{2},i} - b_{-\frac{1}{2},i}) & a = 0 \\ -ib_{a-\frac{1}{2},i} & a \leq -\frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(b_{a,j}) &= -b_{a,j} \quad \text{for } j \neq i \\ \alpha_i(b_{a,i}) &= \begin{cases} -b_{a,i} & a \neq 0, \pm\frac{1}{2} \\ b_{-a,i} & a = 0, \pm\frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

With these auxiliary constructs we build our endomorphism $\rho_{1/2}$:

$$\rho_{1/2} = \prod_{i=1}^M \rho_i \quad (20)$$

Remark The automorphisms α_i are inner automorphisms on $\mathbf{Maj}_{NS}$ and on $\mathbf{Maj}_R$ respectively:

$$\alpha_j(b_{a,i}) = U_j b_{a,i} U_j^* \quad (21)$$

with unitaries U_j

$$U_j = (b_{\frac{1}{2},j} + b_{-\frac{1}{2},j}) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \quad b_{a,j} \in \mathbf{Maj}_{NS} \quad (22)$$

$$U_j = \sqrt{2}b_{0,j} \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbf{Z} \quad b_{a,j} \in \mathbf{Maj}_R \quad (23)$$

On $\mathcal{A}$ the automorphisms are not inner ([1]).

The endomorphism $\rho_{1/2}$ given by (20) is well-defined because the ρ_i 's commute among themselves and it is obviously a $*$ -endomorphism. We will

now consider arbitrary products of automorphisms α_i (there are a total of 2^M) and denote an arbitrary product as α_g . It is easy to calculate the action of $\rho_{1/2}$ on the algebra of generators. We write here only the action on L_0 and the central element Y :

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{1/2}(L_0) &= L_0 - \frac{1}{2}N_0 - \frac{M}{16}Y \\ \rho_{1/2}(Y) &= -Y\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

The irreducible representations of **Maj**: π_{NS} and π_R are intertwined by $\rho_{1/2}$ i.e., $\pi_{NS} \circ \rho_{1/2} \cong \pi_R$; and being the α_g products of the automorphisms α_i defined in (19) they project also to inner automorphisms of **Maj** $_{NS}$, then it follows that $\pi_{NS} \circ \alpha_g \cong \pi_{NS}$. Finally the vector $|NS\rangle$ is also a lowest weight of $\pi_{NS} \circ \rho_{1/2}$ (see below). Now we want to understand how the morphisms $\rho_{1/2}$ and α_g intertwine the representations of the observable algebra $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$. First remember from (13) and from (16) that the Majorana algebra restricts to $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ according to $\pi_{NS} \cong \bigoplus_{r=0}^M \bigoplus_{\underline{i}} \pi_{r(\underline{i})}$ and $\pi_R \cong \bigoplus 2^M \pi_{\frac{1}{2}}$, where the right-hand side means that π_R decomposes into 2^M equivalent representations. Therefore by the above discussion:

$$\bigoplus_{r=0}^M \bigoplus_{\underline{i}} \pi_{r(\underline{i})} \circ \rho_{1/2} \cong \pi_R \cong \bigoplus 2^M \pi_{\frac{1}{2}}\tag{25}$$

Consequently we have:

$$\pi_{r(\underline{i})} \circ \rho_{1/2} \cong \pi_{\frac{1}{2}}\tag{26}$$

In particular $\pi_0 \circ \rho_{1/2} = \pi_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the conformal weight of $\pi_0 \circ \rho_{1/2}(L_0)$ is:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_0 \circ \rho_{1/2}(L_0)|0\rangle &= \pi_0(L_0 - \frac{1}{2}N_0 - \frac{M}{16}Y)|0\rangle = (\frac{M}{16})|0\rangle \\ \rho_{1/2}(L_n)|0\rangle &= 0 \quad \text{for } n > 0\end{aligned}$$

The second equation above can be proven in the following way: write the vacuum as $|0\rangle \equiv |0\rangle_1 \times |0\rangle_2 \times \cdots \times |0\rangle_M$ where $|0\rangle_i$ is the vacuum for **Maj** $_i$ then for every i we can apply the method of [1] to prove the result. To

determine what sector gives $\pi_0 \circ \alpha_g$ where α_g is an arbitrary product of α_i say $\alpha_g = \alpha_{i_1} \circ \alpha_{i_2} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_{i_r}$ (with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \leq M$) we calculate first the lowest weight of $\pi_0 \circ \alpha_g(L_0)$,i.e. :

$$\pi_0 \circ \alpha_g(L_0)|0\rangle = (L_0 + \frac{n}{2} - \sum_j H_{\frac{1}{2},j} - \frac{r}{4}[1+Y])|0\rangle = \frac{n}{2}|0\rangle$$

where $H_{\frac{1}{2},j} = b_{-\frac{1}{2},j}b_{\frac{1}{2},j} = J_{-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},j} + \frac{1}{4}[1-Y]$. Now $\langle 0|\pi_0 \circ \alpha_g(A)|0\rangle = \langle 0|U_g A U_g^*|0\rangle = \langle 0|\pi_{r(\underline{i})}(A)|0\rangle$ ($U_g = U_{i_1}U_{i_2}\cdots U_{i_r}$ with U_i defined in (22)). The above computation gives us the result:

$$\pi_0 \circ \alpha_g \equiv \pi_0 \circ \alpha_{i_1} \circ \alpha_{i_2} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_{i_r} \cong \pi_{r(\underline{i})}$$

3 Fusion Rules and Intertwining Operators

We prove now that the endomorphism $\rho_{1/2}$ defined in (20) satisfies the required fusion rules (1,2). The equivalence class of the representation $\pi_0 \circ \rho$ of $\bar{A}$ will be denoted $[\rho]$. Finally it will be verified that $[\rho_{1/2}\alpha_g] = [\alpha_g\rho_{1/2}]$ the equivalence being given by the statistics operator $\varepsilon(\rho_{1/2}, \alpha_g)$. In this and the following section we will always work in the vacuum representation, therefore it will not be ambiguous to write A for $\pi_0(A)$. Now for each ρ_i defined in (18) we can use the results of [1]:

$$AR_i^* = R_i^*\rho_i^2(A) \tag{27}$$

$$\alpha_i(A)S_i^* = S_i^*\rho_i^2$$

Where $S_i^* = \alpha_i(R_i^*)$ and

$$R_i^* = \cdots A_{3,i}A_{2,i}A_{1,i}P_i = \left(\prod_{n \geq 1}\right)P_i$$

$$A_{n,i} = b_{n+\frac{1}{2},i}b_{-n-\frac{1}{2},i} + b_{-n+\frac{1}{2},i}b_{n+\frac{1}{2},i}$$

where $P_i = b_{\frac{1}{2},i}b_{-\frac{1}{2},i}$ is a projection, also $R_iR_i^* = P_i$ and $R_i^*R_i = \mathbf{1}$ ($\mathbf{1}$ is the identity operator).

To decompose $\rho_{1/2}^2$, we find the minimal projectors in the commutant of $\rho_{1/2}^2$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$. For this we calculate first its action on the fermions:

$$\rho_{1/2}^2(b_{a,i}) = \begin{cases} -b_{a+1,i}, & a > 0 \\ -b_{a-1,i}, & a < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \quad (28)$$

and $\rho_{1/2}^2(b_{a,i}) = 0 \ \forall i$ in the vacuum representation π_0 , for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then it is not difficult to see that the intertwiner between $\rho_{1/2}^2$ and the vacuum, call it R , must be the product of all R_i defined (27):

$$R = \prod_{i=1}^M R_i \quad (29)$$

$$AR^* = R^* \rho_{1/2}^2(A)$$

For $A = b_{a,i}b_{c,i}$ (29) can be verified by explicit computation and consequently extends to $A \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$. R is also a partial isometry:

$$P_0 := RR^* = \prod_{i=1}^M P_i \quad (30)$$

Now apply all possible products of automorphisms α_i from (19) with different colors, there are a total of 2^M products, to R^* obtaining 2^M intertwining operators, call a generic element of this set S_g^* , in symbols

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^M (1 + \alpha_i) &= \sum_g \alpha_g \\ \alpha_g(R^*) &= S_g^* \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

They satisfy

$$\alpha_g(A)S_g^* = S_g^* \rho_{1/2}^2(A)$$

Because $\alpha_g \circ \rho_{1/2} = \rho_{1/2}$ (see below).

Proposition : *The endomorphism $\rho_{1/2}$ satisfies the fusion rules*

$$[\rho_{1/2} \circ \rho_{1/2}] = \sum_g [\alpha_g] \quad (32)$$

where the sum goes over 2^M terms and the sub-index g is an abbreviation for a multi-index $\{i_1 i_2 \dots, i_r\}$. where r goes from 1 to M and the i_n are one by one different by convention $r = 0$ represents the identity representation id . The automorphisms α_g are self-conjugate:

$$[\alpha_g]^2 = [id.]$$

Finally we have

$$[\alpha_g \circ \rho_{1/2}] = [\rho_{1/2} \circ \alpha_g] = [\rho_{1/2}] \quad (33)$$

Proof. It was proven above that R intertwines between $\rho_{1/2}^2$ and the vacuum, suppose that $\alpha_g \circ \rho_{1/2} = \rho_{1/2}$ (we will prove it in a moment), then the S_g defined in (31) intertwine between $\rho_{1/2}^2$ and α_g so we only have to prove that we have a complete decomposition of $\rho_{1/2}^2$ this is proven if the minimal projectors in the commutant of $\rho_{1/2}^2$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ span the identity operator in $\mathcal{H}_0$, by the definition of the α_g 's:

$$\sum_g \alpha_g(P_0) = \prod_{i=1}^M (1 + \alpha_i) \cdot (P_0) = \prod_{i=1}^M (1 + \alpha_i) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^M P_i \right) = 1$$

It is also clear that $\alpha_g^2 = 1$ because of the self-conjugacy of the α_i 's. We prove now the last assertion of the prop. By computation

$$\alpha_g \circ \rho_{1/2}(b_{a,i}) = (-)^g \rho_{1/2}(b_{a,i}) \text{ for } a \in \mathbf{Z}$$

and in the vacuum representation both sides vanish for $a \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. The $(-)^g$ is the grade of α_g (the number of α_i 's in α_g). We have also $\alpha_g \circ \rho_{1/2}(Y_i) = \rho_{1/2}(Y_i) = -Y_i$. Therefore $\alpha_g \circ \rho_{1/2} = \rho_{1/2}$ on bilinears thus on $\mathcal{A}$ too. Now

$$\rho_{1/2} \circ \alpha_g(b_{a,i}) = \begin{cases} (-)^g i b_{a+\frac{1}{2},i} & a > 0 \\ (-)^g \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (b_{\frac{1}{2},i} - b_{-\frac{1}{2},i}) & a = 0 \\ (-)^g i b_{a-\frac{1}{2},i} & a < 0 \end{cases}$$

$\rho_{1/2} \circ \alpha_g(b_{a,i}) = 0$ on the vacuum representation for $a \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$, while $\rho_{1/2} \circ \alpha_g(Y_i) = -Y_i = \rho_{1/2}(Y_i)$. Let $V_g^* : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$ be a unitary operator such that

$$\alpha_g \circ \rho_{1/2}(A)V_g^* = V_g^* \rho_{1/2} \alpha_g(A) \quad (34)$$

for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $V_g^* = \prod_{i \in g} (1 - 2b_{-\frac{1}{2},i} b_{\frac{1}{2},i})$ where the product is over the decomposition of α_g in basis automorphisms α_i . Note that $V_g^* \equiv \varepsilon(\rho_{1/2}, \alpha_g)$.

4 The Statistical Operator

In this section $\rho = \rho_{1/2}$. It is easy to generalize the expressions for the Jones projectors given in [1] to our model, in the vacuum representation we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2n+1} &= \prod_{i=1}^M b_{\frac{1}{2}+n,i} b_{-\frac{1}{2}-n,i}, \\ E_{2n+2} &= 2^{-M} \prod_{i=1}^M [1 - (b_{\frac{1}{2}+n,i} - b_{-\frac{1}{2}-n,i})(b_{\frac{3}{2}+n,i} + b_{-\frac{3}{2}-i})] \end{aligned}$$

This projections satisfy the Jones relations:

$$\begin{aligned} E_n E_m &= E_m E_n, \quad |n - m| \geq 2, \\ E_n E_{n \pm 1} E_n &= d_\rho^{-2} E_n \end{aligned}$$

$d_\rho = 2^{\frac{M}{2}}$ is the statistical dimension of ρ and $E_1 \equiv P_0$ (see (30)). Let now $E_g = \alpha_g(E_1)$ (with the same conventions for α_g as before), the statistical operator $\varepsilon_\rho \equiv \varepsilon(\rho, \rho)$ is a linear combination of this projections note that $E_g = S_g S_g^*$ (S_g defined in (31)):

$$\varepsilon_\rho = C \sum_{\alpha_g} q(\alpha_g) E_g \quad (35)$$

where the sum has 2^M terms and $q(id) = 1$, $g = 0$ representing the identity, $q(\alpha_g)^2 = e^{2\pi i h_{\alpha_g}}$ and the constant $C^2 = e^{-4\pi i h_\rho}$ (see [2]). The $q : \text{stab}(\rho) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_4$ satisfy:

$$q(\alpha_g) q(\alpha_f) = \sigma(\alpha_g, \alpha_f) q(\alpha_g \cdot \alpha_f) \quad (36)$$

In our case $\sigma(\alpha_g, \alpha_g) = (-1)^{(g)}$ by the spin-statistics theorem, see for example [5] and $\sigma(\alpha_g, \alpha_f) = \pm 1$, it is equal to $+1$ when α_g and α_f have in their

decomposition no terms or an even number of α_i 's in common, otherwise it is equal to -1 , as it must be σ as defined is a bihomomorphism. The values of C and $q(\alpha_g)$ are obtained by applying the left-inverse Φ of ρ to the statistical operator: $\Phi(\varepsilon(\rho, \rho)) = e^{2\pi i h_\rho} / d_\rho$, h_ρ is the conformal dimension of the sector $[\rho]$ i.e. $M/16$, this was done in [2], in our notation:

$$e^{2\pi i h_\rho} C^{-1} = \frac{1}{d_\rho} \sum_{\alpha_g} q(\alpha_g)$$

with $C = e^{-\pi i M/8}$; $q(\alpha_g) = e^{\pi i(g)/2}$ we can satisfy the eq. above (ρ is a real sector) and this are the only possible solutions because for $M = 1$ we have the values found in [1].

Acknowledgments I thank Prof. B.Schroer and C.P.Staszkievicz for discussions.

References

- [1] Mack G.,Schomerus V.: Conformal Field Algebras with Quantum Symmetry from the Theory of Superselectionsectors, Commun. Math. Phys. **134**, 139-196 (1990)
- [2] Fröhlich J. ,Kerler T.: Quantum Groups Quantum Categories and Quantum Field Theory, [Springer Lectures Notes in Mathematics](Springer Verlag, Berlin, in press), pg. 216
- [3] Fuchs J.,Ganchev A.,Vercseryés P.: Level 1 WZW Superselection Sectors, Commun. Math. Phys.**146**, 553-583 (1992)
- [4] FredenhagenK.,Rehren K.-H.,Schroer B.: Superselection sectors with braid group statistics and exchange algebras. I: General Theory, Commun. Math. Phys. **125**, 201-226 (1989)
- [5] Fredenhagen K.,Rehren K.-H.,Schroer B.: Superselection Sectors with Braid Group Statistics and Exchange Algebras II: Geometric Aspects and Conformal Covariance, Rev.Math.Phys. [special issue] 113-157 (1992)